UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,273	07/31/2007	Jakov Vaisman	64734(70403)	6986
	7590	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 5587	<i>'</i> 4	BETTON, TIMOTHY E		
BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/01/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/564,273	VAISMAN, JAKOV		
Examiner	Art Unit		
TIMOTHY E. BETTON	1627		

	TIMOTHY E. BETTON	1627	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 February 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this An no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (i	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	r).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imal, filed amondmen	at agraeling the
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owabie ii submilled in a separale, i	illiely liled affieridifier	it canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>. 	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/SREENI PADMANABHAN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1627			

Continuation of 13. Other:

The amendment filed on 8 February 2010 will be entered due to the submission of a premature issue of a final action. Claims 76-77 and 79 remain rejected under 35 USC section 102(b) because on page 6 in the last paragraph of the previous action Altabet clearly anticipates the invention by teaching that 'formulations for these delivery methods may be prepared by any methods well-known in the art pharmacy (col. 6, lines 39-43). Thus, in the realm of pharmacy technology, administering an agent via two or more modes of administration is well-established and art-known as comprehensive therapy. Thus, Altabet adequately anticipates the claimed invention. Claims 62-63, 66, and 69-75 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable under Bar-Or in view of Crenshaw et al , and Bodor et al.. The references both individually and seperately are rproper for what they all teach.

Bar Or adequately describes the reasoning as to the nonobviousness in the application of this pharmaceutical agent (please see 2nd full paragraph on page 8). Crenshaw et al. explictly teach an exact dosage of fluoxetine indicated for the male genitalia. On page 10 at line 6, Crenshaw teach skin patch "and the like", can also be utilized. In the broadest reasonable interpretation, Crenshaw et al. overcomes the argument. Bodor et al. teach cyclodextrin complexes. Also, Bodor teaches contemplated routes of administration which are both vaginally and nasally. Thus, Bodor establishes the motivation as to why one of skill would instantly recognize that more than one route of administration in view of the nature of the drug is essential in comprehensive treatment for the disorder. Further, in the context of the claimed invention, the male genitialia could be interchanged with the term vagina.

Further, the IDS filed on 12 February 2009 has been acknowledged and duly made of record.